

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,038	09/15/2003	Robert F. Buckman	212/515	2545	
23371	7590 09/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROCKETT & CROCKETT			DAWSON, GLENN K		
24012 CALI SUITE 400	E DE LA PLATA		ART UNIT PA		
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653		•	3731		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,038	BUCKMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Glenn K. Dawson	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is	s/are: a) accepted or b)	☑ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	*		1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in a	Application No	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure</li> </ol>	·	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		t received.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03-31-2004	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:		

### Specification

Page 2

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specification does not provide antecedent basis for a clip having jaws rotatably disposed about a(as in one) hinge, wherein the clip opens and closes with force parallelism and where the parallelism is maintained by a parallelogram hinge, or by a linear bearing. None of the disclosed embodiments have these maintaining means in conjunction with jaws rotatable about one hinge. ALL the disclosed embodiments with these maintaining means have jaws, where each jaw is rotatable about a different hinge. The specification also fails to provide antecedent basis for the claimed major and minor axes of the projections claimed in claim 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not adequately describe how the tabs can be folded inwardly against the jaws. Looking at fig. 12A, how

Art Unit: 3731

can the tabs 80,82 be rotated to fold against the jaws while not also rotating the jaws to which they are obviously rigidly connected?

Page 3

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed damper of claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3731

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the open jaw external frame distance", or "the projection of the jaws".

In claim 9, it is unclear how the spillage is substantially stopped, yet the blood still flows through the wall of the vessel. It is believed that the wall of the vessel actually should be the lumen of the vessel. Clarification and correction is required.

Claims 11 and 13 contradict claim 9 if the 2<sup>nd</sup> interpretation above is correct... how could the blood still flow through the vessel while the vessel is totally occluded. It is also unclear how blood can still flow through the wall or lumen of the vessel if the clip totally occludes and seals both ends of a severed vessel.

In claim 24, there is no antecedent basis for "the padded tissue contacting surfaces of the jaws".

In claims 25-28, there is no antecedent basis for "said force parallelism".

## Claim Objections

Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 7 of claim 22, "on" should be —one--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,038

Art Unit: 3731

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ginn, et al.-2006/0190037.

Ginn discloses a method whereby a puncture in a vessel is clipped by a resorbable clip while the lumen of the vessel remains open.

Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merz, et al.-4932955.

Merz discloses a clip 100, having two jaws rotatable about a hinge 114. Between the hinge and the jaws are tabs 125 for receiving protuberances 132 on clip applying jaws. The jaws include serrations.

Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cogley-3805792.

Cogley discloses a clip 30, having two jaws rotatable about a hinge 33. Between the hinge and the jaws are tabs 31,47 for receiving protuberances 16 on clip applying jaws. The jaws include serrations.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 23 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merz or Cogley in view of Anderson, et al.-6802848.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,038

Art Unit: 3731

Page 7

Merz and Cogley discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the spring force adjustment and the specifics of the serrations. Anderson discloses a spring adjuster on a clip. It would have been obvious to have provided the clips of Merz or Cogley with such a spring adjuster to be able to adjust the spring tension exerted on the clipped tissue. One skilled in the art would have recognized the proper force for occluding the vessel while preventing damaging the tissue of the vessel and would have provided this range within the bounds of the adjustment mechanism. The specifics of the serrations would be mere obvious design choices known to one skilled in the art as the prior art would work equally as well with either configuration, and because such a limitation is not critical to the operation of the device.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,038

Art Unit: 3731

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 31 August 2006